| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |
|--|---|--|
|  | 10/751,116  | OHTSUBO ET AL.   |
|  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |
|  | Michael A. Marcheschi   | 1755   |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u>  |   |  |
| (1) <u>Michael A. Marcheschi</u> .   | (3)   |  |
| (2) <u>Sheldon I. Landsman</u> .   | (4)   |  |
| Date of Interview: <u>5/26/06</u>  | Time:   |  |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:   | cant's representative)  |  |
| Part I.  |   |  |
| Rejection(s) discussed:  |   |  |
| Claims discussed:  |   |  |
| Prior art documents discussed: none  |   |  |
| Part II.   |   |  |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN examiners amendment  | ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS   | DISCUSSED:   |
| Part III.  |   |  |
| <ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. Tof the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summation</li> </ul> | he examiner will provide a writte<br>e record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
|  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
| // <i>I</i> s  | <del></del>   |  |
|  |   |  |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application  | nt/Applicant's Representative Sig                                     | gnature – if appropriate)                                  |